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Abstract. We compute the local densities of the $D_{n}$ series, whose integrability was shown in a previous letter.

In an earlier letter (Pasquier 1987) we showed the complete integrability of a set of models labelled by $D_{n}$. Here we continue the analyses and compute the height probabilities in regime III. They can be straightforwardly derived from expressions in an rsos model with $r=2 n-2$ by applying a reflection principle (Andrews et al 1984). We thus confirm the conjecture that these models describe universality classes of unitary conformal theories (Pasquier 1986).

We recall results from our previous letter (Pasquier 1987): $D_{n}$ models are IRF models defined in terms of even and odd heights lying on sites of two interpenetrating sublattices. We require that two heights can be adjacent only if they are linked on the $D_{n}$ diagram (figure 1).

Using the same notation as Andrews et al (1984), we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{l}=\theta_{1}(\mu-u) / \theta_{1}(\mu) \\
& \beta_{l}=\theta_{1}(u)\left(\theta_{1}(\mu)\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\theta_{2}((l-1) \mu) \theta_{2}((l+1) \mu)}{\theta_{2}^{2}(l \mu)}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \gamma_{l}=\theta_{2}(l \mu+u) / \theta_{2}(l \mu)  \tag{1}\\
& \delta_{l}=\theta_{2}(l \mu-u) / \theta_{2}(l \mu) \\
& \mu=\pi / 2(n-1)
\end{align*}
$$

There are two kinds of weights, those for which none of the heights around the face takes the value $0, \overline{0}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& W(l, l+1 \mid l-1, l)=W(l, l-1 \mid l+1, l)=\alpha_{l} \\
& W(l+1, l \mid l, l-1, l)=W(l-1, l \mid l, l+1)=\beta_{l} \\
& W(l+1, l \mid l, l+1)=\gamma_{l}  \tag{2}\\
& W(l-1, l \mid l, l-1)=\delta_{l}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1. $D_{n}$.
with $l \geqslant 2$ in $\alpha_{l}, \beta_{l}, \delta_{l} ; l \geqslant 1$ in $\delta_{l}$, and weights for which some heights can take the value $0, \overline{0}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& W(1,2 \mid 0,1)=W(1,2 \mid \overline{0}, 1)=W(1,0 \mid 2,1)=W(1, \overline{0} \mid 2,1)=\alpha \\
& W(1, \overline{0} \mid 0,1)=W(1,0 \mid \overline{0}, 1)=\bar{\alpha} \\
& W(2,1 \mid 1,0)=W(0,1 \mid 1,2)=W(2,1 \mid 1, \overline{0})=W(\overline{0}, 1 \mid 1,2)=\beta  \tag{3}\\
& W(0,1 \mid 1, \overline{0})=W(\overline{0}, 1 \mid 1,0)=\bar{\beta} \\
& W(1,0 \mid 0,1)=W(1, \overline{0} \mid \overline{0}, 1)=\gamma \\
& W(0,1 \mid 1,0)=W(\overline{0}, 1 \mid 1, \overline{0})=\delta \\
& \alpha=\alpha_{1} \\
& \beta=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta_{1} \\
& \bar{\beta}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right) \\
& \delta=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{1}+\alpha_{1}\right) \\
& \gamma=\left(\gamma_{0}+\Gamma \beta_{1}\right) \\
& \bar{\alpha}=\left(\gamma_{0}-\Gamma \beta_{1}\right) \\
& \Gamma=\theta_{2}^{2}(\mu)\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{2}(2 \mu) \theta_{2}^{3}(\mu)}\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{align*}
$$

These weights obey the diagonal reflection symmetry conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(a, b \mid c, d)=W(d, b \mid c, a)=W(a, c \mid b, d) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

They depend on two parameters, $u$ and $p$, the nome of elliptic functions entering their definitions. Here we will restrict ourselves to regime III:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leqslant u \leqslant \mu \\
& |p| \leqslant 1 . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

We regard $p$ as a given constant and $u$ as a variable. The function $W$ has the rotation and inversion properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{u}\left(l_{1} l_{2} \mid l_{3} l_{4}\right)=\left(\frac{g_{1} g_{l_{4}}}{g_{l_{2}} g_{3}}\right)^{1 / 2} W_{\mu-u}\left(l_{3} l_{1} \mid l_{4}^{\prime} l_{2}\right) \\
& g_{l}=\theta_{2}^{1 / 2}\left(l_{\mu}\right) \quad l \geqslant 1  \tag{6}\\
& g_{0}=g_{\overline{0}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \theta_{2}^{1 / 2}(0) \\
& \sum_{l} W_{u}\left(l_{1} l_{2} \mid l_{3} l\right) W_{-u}\left(l l_{2} \mid l_{3} l_{4}\right)=\delta_{l_{1} l_{4}} \frac{\theta_{1}(\mu-u) \theta_{1}(\mu+u)}{\theta_{1}^{2}(\mu)} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

We now proceed to compute the local probabilities

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{a}=Z^{-1} \sum \delta\left(l_{1}, a\right) \prod_{(i j n m)} W\left(l_{i}, l_{j} \mid l_{m}, l_{n}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z$ is the partition function. The sum is over all allowed arrangements of heights on the lattice. We will mainly follow the procedure of appendix A of Andrews et al (1984) with some modifications adapted to our case. Define a state $|\boldsymbol{l}\rangle=\left|l_{1} \ldots l_{m}\right\rangle$ by a set of heights on the lattice. Starting from the centre site $l_{1}$ and moving right to the boundary, $l_{m+1}=b, l_{m+2}=c$ are fixed by the ground state chosen for the boundary condition.

Following Andrews et al one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{a}=\operatorname{Tr} S_{a} R_{1}^{2} \exp (-2 \mu H) / \operatorname{Tr} R_{1}^{2} \exp (-2 \mu H) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S_{a}$ projects on height $a$ at site 1:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{a}|l\rangle=\delta_{1, a}|l\rangle \\
& R_{1}|l\rangle=g_{l_{1}}|l\rangle . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

$\exp (-\mu H)$ is the diagonal form of the corner transfer matrix $A$ defined in Baxter (1982).
To diagonalise $A$, it is convenient to make a conjugate modulus transformation. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(W, q)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n-1} W\right)\left(1-q^{n} W\right)\left(1-q^{n}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to express the weights in terms of $f$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{l}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{l} \lambda_{l}}{\lambda_{l-1} \lambda_{l+1}}\right) W^{1 / 2} \frac{f\left(x W^{-1}\right)}{f(x)} \\
& \gamma_{l}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{l+1} \lambda_{l+1}}{\lambda_{l} \lambda_{l}}\right) \frac{f\left(x^{(n-1+l)} W\right)}{f\left(x^{(n-1+l)}\right)} \\
& \delta_{l}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{l-1} \lambda_{l-1}}{\lambda_{l} \lambda_{l}}\right) \frac{f\left(x^{(n-1+l)} W^{-1}\right)}{f\left(x^{(n-1+1)}\right)} \\
& \beta_{l}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{i} \lambda_{l}}{\lambda_{l-1} \lambda_{l+1}}\right)\left(\frac{x f\left(x^{(n+l-2)}\right) f\left(x^{(n+1)}\right)}{f^{2}\left(x^{(n+1-1)}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{f(W)}{f(x)}  \tag{12}\\
& \delta, \bar{\beta}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0} \lambda_{0}}\right) \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f\left(x^{n} W^{-1}\right)}{f\left(x^{n}\right)} \pm W^{1 / 2} \frac{f\left(x W^{-1}\right)}{f(x)}\right) \\
& \gamma, \bar{\alpha}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{0} \lambda_{0}}{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{f\left(x^{n-1} W^{-1}\right)}{f\left(x^{n-1}\right)} \pm x^{1 / 2} W^{-1 / 2} \frac{f\left(x^{n}\right)}{f\left(x^{n-1}\right)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{1}(u, p)=\theta_{1}\left(u, \mathrm{e}^{-\varepsilon}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} \exp \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{8}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{8 \varepsilon}-\frac{2 u(\pi-u)}{\varepsilon}\right) f\left(\exp \left(-\frac{4 \pi u}{\varepsilon}\right), \exp \left(-\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \\
& W=\exp (-4 \pi u / \varepsilon)  \tag{13}\\
& x=W(\mu) \\
& f(W)=f\left(W, x^{2(n-1)}\right) \\
& \lambda_{l}=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} l^{2} \mu u / \varepsilon\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The diagonal form of $\exp (-u H)$ then takes the following form (formula (A40) of Andrews et al):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp (-u H)=\lambda_{l_{1}}^{-1} W^{N(I) / 2} \delta\left(l, l^{\prime}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{l}^{\prime}$ denotes two sets of heights $\left\{l_{1} \ldots l_{m}\right\}\left\{l_{1}^{\prime} \ldots l_{m}^{\prime}\right\} l_{m+1}, l_{m+1}^{\prime}, l_{m+2}$ are fixed by their boundary values $l_{m+1}=l_{m+1}^{\prime}=b, l_{m+2}=c$.
$N(l)$ is an integer independent of $x$ which can be obtained by looking at the limit $x=0$. The main difference with the Andrews et al analyses is that matrices $U_{j}$ defined in A2 of their paper are not always diagonal in this limit.

If one defines the matrices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{l_{1}^{\prime}, 1}^{\left(l_{-1}^{\prime}, l_{j+1}^{\prime}\right)}=W\left(l_{j}, l_{j+1} \mid l_{j-1}, l_{j}^{\prime}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

They become diagonal except if $l_{j-1}=l_{j+1}=1, l_{j}^{\prime}, l_{j}=0$ or $\overline{0}$, then

$$
U^{(1,1)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1  \tag{16}\\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & W^{1 / 2}
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Replace $0, \overline{0}$ by two new 'heights'

$$
\begin{equation*}
X, Y=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0 \pm \overline{0}) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $N(\bar{l})$ takes the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\bar{l})=\sum_{j=1}^{m} j d_{j}\left(l_{j+2}, l_{j}\right) / 2 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
d_{j}\left(l_{j+2}, l_{j}\right)=\left|l_{j+2}-l_{j}\right| & \text { if } & l_{j+2} \text { or } l_{j} \neq 1 \\
d_{j}(1,1)=0 & \text { if } & l_{j+1}=X \\
d_{j}(1,1)=2 & \text { if } & l_{j+1}=Y  \tag{19}\\
d_{j}(X, Y)=0 & & \\
d_{j}(X, 2)=d_{j}(Y, 2)=2 . & &
\end{array}
$$

It is now easy to map the problem into an Rsos one with $r=2 n-2\left(A_{2 n-3}\right.$ with our notation). To a set of 'heights' $l_{1} l_{2} \ldots l_{m}$ with some of the heights equal to $X$ or $Y$, associate a new set of heights $l_{1}^{\prime} l_{2}^{\prime} \ldots l_{m}^{\prime}$ with $l_{i}^{\prime}=0$ if $l_{i}=X$ or $Y$ and $l_{i}^{\prime}=(-1)^{\Phi(i)} l_{i}$ if $l_{i}=1,2, \ldots, n-2 . \Phi(i)$ is the number of heights $l_{j}=Y$ with $j<i$. The heights $l_{i}^{\prime}$ are integers between $-n-2$ and $n+2$ subject to the constraint $\left|l_{j+1}^{\prime}-l_{j}\right|=1$. One then has

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(l)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} j\left|l_{j+2}^{\prime}-l_{j}^{\prime}\right| / 2 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the expression from Andrews et al for $N(\boldsymbol{l})$ (formula 1.5.2 of their paper). The calculation is then the same as theirs, the only difference being that a ground state, $l_{m+1}=b, l_{m+2}=c$, has to be identified with its reflected

$$
l_{m+1}=-b \quad l_{m+2}=-c
$$

The results can now be obtained from theirs. In formula (3.3.18c), they express $P_{a}$ in terms of $d$ which determines the system in the ordered regime. Adding the two contributions coming from the two reflected ground states we thus obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{a}=\left[\theta_{3}\left(\frac{\pi a}{4(n-1)}+\frac{\pi d}{2(2 n-3)}, S\right)+\theta_{3}\left(\frac{\pi a}{4(n-1)}-\frac{\pi d}{2(2 n-3)}, S\right)\right. \\
\left.-\theta_{4}\left(\frac{\pi a}{4(n-1)}+\frac{\pi d}{2(2 n-3)}, S\right)-\theta_{4}\left(\frac{\pi a}{4(n-1)}-\frac{\pi d}{2(2 n-3)}, S\right)\right] \\
\times\left[R_{a} \theta_{4}\left(0, p^{2(n-1)}\right) \theta_{2}\left(\frac{\pi d}{2 n-3}, S^{8(n-1)}\right)\right]^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $a \geqslant 1 . \quad P_{0}+P_{\overline{0}}=\frac{1}{2}$ (the above expression with $a=0$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=p^{1 / 4(2 n-3)}  \tag{21}\\
& R_{a}=2(n-1)\left[\theta_{2}(\pi a / 2(n-1), P)\right]^{-1} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

$P$ is the parameter entering the definition of the weights; $d$ determines the phase of the ordered regime

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\frac{1}{2}(b+c) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the phase with $b=0, c=1$ (or $b=1, c=0$ ) is not a true phase, but rather a coexistence phase of the two phases $b=0, c=1$ and $b=\overline{0}, c=1$.

From (6) and (7), the partition function per site $K(u)$ obeys the same inversion relations as the partition function of the Rsos model with $r=2 n-2\left(A_{2 n-3}\right)$. It is therefore equal to it.

We consider the limit $p \rightarrow 0$, where $p$ measures the deviation from criticality. The free energy vanishes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\text {sing }}=p^{2-\alpha} \log p \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-\alpha=n-1 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We expand the expressions of $P_{a}$ around the critical point:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{a}=\frac{2 \cos (\pi a / 2(n-1))}{(n-1) \cos (\pi d /(2 n-3))} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \text { odd }}}^{2 n-3} p^{\left(m^{2}-1\right) / 8(2 n-3)} \cos \left(\frac{m \pi a}{2(n-1)}\right) \cos \left(\frac{m \pi d}{2 n-3}\right)(1+\mathrm{O}(p)) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a \geqslant 1$ and $P_{0}+P_{\overline{0}}$ is equal to one-half of the preceding expressions with $a=0$.
Repeating the analysis of Huse (1984), it is possible to build order parameters with a definite scaling dimension

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m}=\frac{m^{2}-1}{2(2 n-2)(2 n-3)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
\begin{align*}
& m=1,3, \ldots, 2 n-5, n-1 \\
& {[m]=P_{0}+P_{\overline{0}}+\sum_{a=1}^{n-2} \cos \left(\frac{m \pi a}{2(n-1)}\right) \frac{P_{a}}{\cos (\pi a / 2(n-1))}}  \tag{28}\\
& {[n-1]=P_{0}-P_{\overline{0}} .}
\end{align*}
$$

These operators were identified with the highest weights of Virasoro representations $[m, m] \otimes[m, m]$ in Pasquier (1986). The values of $m$ correspond to those of the models ( $A_{2 n-4}, D_{n}$ ) of Cappelli et al (1986).

Unfortunately, the above analysis does not give access to the scaling dimension of the odd operator under the exchange symmetry of 0 and $\overline{0}: P_{0}-P_{\overline{0}}$. For this, the result above is still a conjecture.
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